

SECTION 300.00 SOLICITATION AND SELECTION

310.00 Qualification-Based Selection

320.00 Obtaining Consultant Services

330.00 Master List

340.00 Consultant Selection Methods

SECTION 300.00 – SOLICITATION AND SELECTION

SECTION 310.00 – QUALIFICATION-BASED SELECTION

Qualification-based selection procedures for professional services allow all interested parties an equitable opportunity to present their credentials for consideration. Selection of professional service firms must follow federal guidelines when the services involve federal funds. State-funded agreements generally follow the same procedures as federally funded agreements and must conform to state statutes and fiscal controls.

SECTION 320 .00 – OBTAINING CONSULTANT SERVICES

An [ITD-2760](#), Request for Consultant Services, must be completed and sent to the Consultant Administration Unit (CAU) to begin the process. (See [Section 900](#), Forms) The requesting party should also provide project information, an initial scope of work, and an estimated cost of the services. Approved funding may be identified by attaching a copy of an [ITD-2101](#), Project Authorization and Agreement.

SUFFICIENT FUNDS TO COVER THE ESTIMATED COST OF SERVICES MUST BE SCHEDULED BEFORE THE ITD-2760 WILL BE PROCESSED.

SECTION 330.00 – PROJECT ADVERTISING

The CAU web site provides a link to the Idaho Transportation Investment Program (ITIP). The ITIP contains all currently scheduled highway projects. These documents are for informational purposes only and do not guarantee that all projects listed will require services.

The CAU will post open solicitations with information, criteria and instructions on the web. Advertisements will also be made in local newspapers, in conformance with Idaho Code 67-2320. The consultant is responsible to review the website for service opportunities. The CAU web address is: <http://www.itd.idaho.gov/design/cau/cau.htm>.

SECTION 340.00 – CONSULTANT SELECTION METHODS

Consultant selection is based on one of the following:

- A. Full Solicitation (Statement of Interest, Technical Proposals from the top firms, and Interviews)
- B. Technical Proposals and Interviews of the top rated firms
- C. Technical Proposals only.

Selection Committee

A Selection Committee is used for individual project solicitation and selection. The Consultant Administration Unit Coordinator, or a designated representative, serves as Chairman. The Agreement Administrator will normally be one of the committee members with at least one representative from the requesting District or Section, a subject matter expert not from the requesting District or Section, and usually an Area Coordinator in the Headquarters' Design/Materials/Construction Unit. Another person may be substituted for the Area Coordinator if special expertise is required. All members must

understand the scope of work and be familiar with consultant selection procedures. When appropriate, representatives from other sections should be invited and encouraged to attend the negotiations.

The list of Committee members should be attached to the ITD-2760, Request for Consultant Services.

Civil Rights Notification

The CAU will notify the EEO Office of solicitations. The EEO staff is available to advise the Committee and can elect to monitor activities of the Committee to ensure that the requirements of Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 are met.

A. Full Solicitation Procedures

Statement of Interest

In the Statement of Interest (SOI), the consultants demonstrate their ability, experience and qualifications to perform a specific type of work. The SOI shall include an initial scope of work from which a detailed scope of work can be developed. The SOI is prepared and is posted on the CAU Web page.

The rating criteria should address such items as:

- Experience in the area of work being solicited.
- Location where the work will be performed.
- References (preferably clients from the same type of work).
- Proposed Method and Approach
- Key Personnel.
- Sub consultants.
- Availability of Manpower
- Quality Control
- Disadvantaged Business Enterprise (DBE) utilization commitment (if applicable).

In addition to these items, the SOI should include Equal Employment Opportunity (EEO) Programs, weighting factors, deadline for returning the SOI, and the number of copies of the SOI to submit. The SOI might also include the method of payment (lump sum, cost plus fixed fee, etc.) and the name of the agreement administrator.

Evaluation Criteria

Prior to the Proposal Review and/or Selection meeting, an evaluation form is prepared based on the proposal criteria. (See [Section 1000](#), Samples, for a sample evaluation sheet.) The proposal evaluation criteria are a working tool to establish a basis of discussion for the committee.

Proposal Review

When the SOIs are received by the CAU, they are screened to assure that they comply with the requirements of the solicitation. A copy is then distributed to the Selection Committee members for review and rating. The Selection Committee Members should provide constructive comments on the evaluation sheets to aid the CAU in providing useful feedback to the consultants during the debriefing. The Committee then meets to evaluate the ratings. Each proposal is reviewed and discussed. The Chairman builds a consensus among the Committee to recommend a consultant short list or a consultant selection. Although a consensus is desirable, the majority vote shall rule.

The evaluation rating sheets are finalized, signed by the Committee members, and tallied. Draft ratings are often revised after thorough consideration and discussion by the Committee. The evaluation rating sheets should show the crossed-out draft data and the entry of the new rating, thus leaving an audit trail.

Consultant Short List

The Committee then recommends a consultant short list. Due to the high cost of preparation of proposals and interviews, the short list should be limited to the top ranked firms who will submit Technical Proposals and/or Interviews. If only two firms have a realistic chance of winning the selection, then the short list should be limited to two firms. If only one firm has a realistic chance to be selected, then selection should be made and a recommendation given to the Assistant Chief Engineer – Development. The additional time and cost to all parties by soliciting for Technical Proposals and conducting interviews should not be incurred.

Technical Proposal Request Notification

Once consultants are chosen to submit a Technical Proposal (RFP), they should visit the project site and obtain actual project specifics. In the proposal, they must demonstrate their ability to perform the specific project. The RFP will contain an initial scope of work from which a detailed scope of work can be developed and technical and desired criteria for performance of the work to be done. Typical rating criteria are:

- Detailed Scope of Work
- Critical Path Diagram
- Milestones
- Availability of Manpower

In addition, the RFP should address weighting factors, deadline for returning the RFP, number of copies of the RFP to submit, and re-address DBE commitment requirements.

Proposal Review

When the proposals are received by the CAU, they are screened to assure that they comply with the requirements of the RFP. A copy is then distributed to the Selection Committee members for review and rating. The Selection Committee Members should provide constructive comments on the evaluation sheets to aid the CAU in providing useful feedback to the consultants during the debriefing. The Committee then meets to evaluate the ratings. Each proposal is reviewed and discussed. The Chairman builds a consensus among the Committee to recommend a consultant selection or to hold interviews.

The evaluation rating sheets are finalized, signed by the Committee members, and tallied. Draft ratings are often revised after thorough consideration and discussion by the Committee. The evaluation rating sheets should show the crossed-out draft data and the entry of the new rating, thus leaving an audit trail.

Interviews

Interviews allow the Department a first hand opportunity to ascertain the consultant's ability to perform a specific project. The interview format should be approximately one hour long, with the first thirty minutes for consultant presentations and the second thirty minutes for questions and answers. Scheduling an extra twenty to thirty minutes between interviews allows adequate time for set up of the next consultant firm. Other formats may be used, but need to be coordinated with the CAU.

To maintain consistency of information prior to the interview, the Committee Chairman should be the only Department contact to the short-listed consultants. The Chairman cannot divulge information from other firms, but should let the firms know any information the Department has of record that is pertinent

to the project. On a case-by-case basis, the Chairman may direct the consultant to other committee members or Department employees who possess expertise appropriate to the project.

During the interviews, the same set of questions is used for each consultant, and the Committee members rate each consultant. After the interviews, the Committee meets to discuss the interview, and to make a selection.

B. Technical Proposals And Interviews (Procedures)

The Request for Technical Proposal (RFP) along with interviews may be used for solicitation purposes. In the proposal, the consultants must demonstrate their ability to perform a specific project. The RFP may be distributed to pre-qualified consultants with the necessary expertise. The RFP will contain an initial scope of work from which a detailed scope of work can be developed, technical and desired criteria for performance of the work to be done, and the consultant will be encouraged to visit the project site and obtain project specifics. Typical rating criteria are:

- Company Experience in the Area of Work
- Detailed Scope of Work
- Critical Path Diagram
- Milestones
- Availability of Manpower
- Location of Work
- Project Manager
- Key Personnel
- Sub consultants
- Disadvantaged Business Enterprise (DBE) utilization commitment (if applicable).

In addition, the RFP should address weighting factors, deadline for returning the RFP, and the number of copies of the RFP to submit.

Proposal Review

When the RFPs are received by the CAU, a copy will be distributed to the Selection Committee members for review and rating. The Selection Committee Members should provide constructive comments on the evaluation sheets to aid the CAU in providing useful feedback to the consultants during the debriefing. The Committee then meets to evaluate the ratings. Each proposal is reviewed and discussed. The Chairman builds a consensus among the Committee to recommend a consultant selection or to short-list for interviews.

The evaluation rating sheets are finalized, signed by the Committee members, and tallied. Draft ratings are often revised after thorough consideration and discussion by the Committee. The evaluation rating sheets should show the crossed-out draft data and the entry of the new rating, thus leaving an audit trail.

Interviews

Interviews allow the Department a first hand opportunity to ascertain the consultant's ability to perform a specific project. The interview format should be approximately one hour long, with the first thirty minutes for consultant presentations and the second thirty minutes for questions and answers. Scheduling an extra twenty to thirty minutes between interviews allows adequate time for set up of the next consultant firm. Other formats can be used, but should be coordinated with the CAU.

To maintain consistency of information prior to the interview, the Committee Chairman should be the only Department contact for the short-listed consultants. The Chairman cannot divulge information from other firms, but should let the firms know any information the Department has of record that is pertinent to the project. On a case-by-case basis, the Chairman may direct the consultant to other committee members or Department employees who possess expertise appropriate to the project.

During the interviews, the same set of questions is used for each Consultant, and the Committee members rate each consultant. After the interviews, the Committee meets to discuss the interview, and to make a selection.

C. Technical Proposals Only (Procedures)

The Technical Proposal (RFP) alone may be used for solicitation purposes. In the proposal, the consultants must demonstrate their ability to perform a specific project. The RFP may be distributed to pre-qualified consultants with the necessary expertise. The RFP will contain an initial scope of work from which a detailed scope of work can be developed, technical and desired criteria for performance of the work to be done, and the consultant will be encouraged to visit the project site and obtain project specifics. Typical rating criteria are:

- Company Experience in the Area of Work
- Detailed Scope of Work
- Critical Path Diagram
- Milestones
- Availability of Manpower
- Location of Work
- Project Manager
- Key Personnel
- Sub consultants
- Disadvantaged Business Enterprise (DBE) utilization commitment (if applicable).

In addition, the RFP should address weighting factors, deadline for returning the RFP, and the number of copies of the RFP to submit.

Proposal Review

When the RFPs are received by the CAU, a copy will be distributed to the Selection Committee members for review and rating. The Selection Committee Members should provide constructive comments on the evaluation sheets to aid the CAU in providing useful feedback to the consultants during the debriefing. The Committee then meets to evaluate the ratings. Each proposal is reviewed and discussed. The Chairman builds a consensus among the Committee to recommend a consultant selection.

The evaluation rating sheets are finalized, signed by the Committee members, and tallied. Draft ratings are often revised after thorough consideration and discussion by the Committee. The evaluation rating sheets should show the crossed-out draft data and the entry of the new rating, thus leaving an audit trail.

Post-Solicitation Procedures

Selection

The Selection Committee recommends a consultant selection, and the District Engineer or Section Manager makes the final approval. Once the consultant is selected, the CAU notifies the successful and unsuccessful firm(s) via mail.

Debriefing

Because the Department uses a qualification-based selection criteria calling for proposals and sometimes interviews, the consultants incur a fair amount of time and cost that is not directly reimbursed. As a professional courtesy, the Department should provide candid debriefings.

These debriefings not only benefit the consultants, but also the Department. Consultants can adjust their future operations to better serve the Department. A debriefing can be held any time after the consultant agreement is executed with the selected firm.